PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

·	THE COOLE	ICATION TREA	111				
From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY							
To: DUANE M. BYERS NIXON & VANDERHYE P.C. 901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22203-1808		PCT					
		WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY					
		(PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)					
		Date of mailing (day/month/year)	25 MAR 2009				
Applicant's or agent's file reference		FOR FURTHER ACTION					
DMB-4112-78	<u> </u>		See paragraph 2 below				
International application No. PCT/US 08/12440	International filing date		Priority date (day/month/year)				
	31 October 2008 (3		31 October 2007 (31.10.2007)				
International Patent Classification (IPC) of IPC(8) - A61K 47/00 (2009.01) USPC - 514/789	or dom national classifica	tion and IPC					
Applicant DIFFUSION PHARMAC	EUTICALS LLC						
1. This opinion contains indications rela	ating to the following item	ns:					
Box No. I Basis of the op	Box No. I Basis of the opinion						
Box No. II Priority							
Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability							
Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention							
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis. 1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability citations and explanations supporting such statement							
Box No. VI Certain documents cited							
Box No. VII Certain defects	Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application						
Box No. VIII Certain observa							
2. FURTHER ACTION							
If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.							
If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.							
For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.							
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.							
Name and mailing address of the ISA/US	Date of completion of the	nis opinion	Authorized officer:				
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US Commissioner for Patents	08 March 2009 (08	•	Lee W. Young				
P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450	OU MAICH ZUUS (UC	.03.2009)	PCT Holodock: E71 272 4200				

PCT Helpdesk: 571-272-4300 PCT OSP: 571-272-7774

Facsimile No. 571-273-3201

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/US 08/12440

Box	No. I	Basis of this opinion
1.	With 1	the international application in the language in which it was filed. a translation of the international application into which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)).
2.		This opinion has been established taking into account the rectification of an obvious mistake authorized by or notified to this Authority under Rule 91 (Rule 43bis.1(a))
3.	a. ty	regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, this opinion has been ished on the basis of: Dee of material a sequence listing table(s) related to the sequence listing must of material on paper in electronic form
	c. tir	contained in the international application as filed filed together with the international application in electronic form furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search
4.		In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
5.	Addit	ional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/US 08/12440

Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention						
1. In response to the invitation (Form PCT/ISA/206) to pay additional fees the applicant has, within the applicable time limit:						
paid additional fees						
paid additional fees under protest and, where applicable, the protest fee						
paid additional fees under protest but the applicable protest fee was not paid						
not paid additional fees						
2. This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose not to invite the applicant to pay additional fees.						
3. This Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rule 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is						
complied with						
not complied with for the following reasons:						
This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1. In order for all inventions to be examined, the appropriate additional examination fees must be paid.						
Group I: claims 1-7, directed to a pharmaceutical composition comprising a diffusion enhancing compound. Group II: claims 8, 10, 11, and 19-21, directed to a method for enhancing the diffusion of oxygen in a mammal and treating respiratory deficiencies or diseases using said enhanced diffusion of oxygen comprising administering a diffusion enhancing compound Group III: claims 9, and 19-21, directed to a method of treating hemorrhagic shock comprising administering a diffusion enhancing compound.						
Group IV: claims 12, 17 and 19-21, directed to a method of treating myocardial infarction, hypertension, ischemia or stroke comprising administering a diffusion enhancing compound.						
Group V: claims 13 and 19-21, directed to a method of treating traumatic brain injury or Alzheimer's disease comprising administering a diffusion enhancing compound.						
Group VI: claims 14 and 19-21, directed to a method of treating anemia comprising administering a diffusion enhancing compound. Group VII: claims 15 and 19-21, directed to a method of treating chronic renal failure comprising administering a diffusion enhancing compound.						
Group VIII: claims 16, 19-21, 23, 25 and 26, directed to a method of treating cancer comprising administering a diffusion enhancing compound.						
Group IX: claims 18-21, directed to a method of treating diabetes and diabetes related complications comprising administering a diffusion enhancing compound.						
Group X: claims 22, 25 and 26, directed to a method of treating Wegener's granulomatosis comprising administering a diffusion enhancing compound.						
Group XI: claims 24-26, directed to a method of treating arthritis comprising administering a diffusion enhancing compound.						
The inventions listed as Groups I - XI do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:						
The special technical feature of the Group I claims is a pharmaceutical composition comprising a diffusion enhancing compound. The special technical feature of the Group II-XI claims is the use of a preparation comprising a diffusion enhancing compound to treat a variety of individual diseases or conditions.						
The only common technical element shared by the above groups is that they are related to the use of a diffusion enhancer in a pharmaceutical preparation. This common technical element does not represent an improvement over the prior art of the article entitled "Synergistic Effects of Chemical Enhancers and Therapeutic Ultrasound on Transdermal Drug Delivery" by Johnson et al. (see abstract). Therefore, the inventions of Groups I-XI lack unity of invention under PCT Rule 13 because they do not share a same or corresponding special technical feature.						
4. Consequently, this opinion has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application:						
all parts						
the parts relating to claims Nos.						

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/US 08/12440

Во	x No. V	Reasoned statement un citations and explanati		bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial apping such statement	licability;
1.	Statemen	it			
	Novel	ty (N)	Claims	4-6	YES
		., (1.)	Claims	1-3 and 7	NO
	T	diameter (IC)	CI-:	NONE	1,500
Inventive step (IS)		Claims Claims	1-7	YES NO	
			0.000		
	Indust	rial applicability (IA)	Claims	NONE	YES
			Claims	NONE	NO
enh Reg acco	ms 1-3 and ancers and the parting claim eptable carring claim parding claim	therapeutic ultrasound on 1, Johnson teaches a ph er (abstract).	transdermal of armaceutical pharmaceutical	as being anticipated by the article entitled "synergistic effects of chendrug delivery" by Johnson et al. (hereafter "Johnson"). composition comprising a diffusion enhancing compound and a pharmal composition comprising a unit dose of a diffusion enhancing composition comprising a unit dose of a diffusion enhancing composition.	maceutically
Reg	arding claim	• •	•	al composition as in claim 1 wherein the diffusion enhancing compour	nd is selected
l	,	•	pharmaceutica	al composition wherein the pharmaceutically acceptable carrier is PE	G (table 2-3).
	ms 4-6 lack eater 'Glenr		PCT Article 33	(3) as being obvious over Johnson in view of US 2007/0088248 A1 to	o Glenn et al.
treh It w	alose. Howe ould have be	ever, Glenn teaches the place een obvious to one of skill	harmaceutical in the art to ir	aceutical composition as in claim I wherein the diffusion enhancing co I composition wherein the diffusion enhancing compound is trehalose ncorporate trehalose as taught by Glenn in to the diffusion enhancing on (para [0157], non-reducing saccharide).	(para [0156]).
	arding claim sub.4 (para		armaceutical o	composition wherein the small or multiply-charged ion with high charg	je density is
Reg	arding claim	n 6, Glenn teaches the ph	armaceutical o	composition wherein the composition is an aqueous based solution (рага [0135]).
Clai	ms 1-7 have	industrial applicability as	defined by Po	CT Article 33(4) because the subject matter can be made or used in i	ndustry.
				·	